

Legally Disabled: The career experiences of disabled people working in the legal profession

Prof Debbie Foster Dr Natasha Hirst













Aims & Objectives of the Research

- 1. To establish the first comprehensive evidence on the experiences of disabled people working in the legal profession
- 2. To co-produce the research with disabled people so that their voices and priorities were fully represented
- 3. To challenge negative stereotypes and limited aspirations. To highlight untapped talent, unnecessary obstacles and work with stakeholders to bring about change.



Methodology

- Co-production guided by the social model of disability we worked with our partner(s), involving Disabled People's Organisations (DPOs) & established a Research Reference Group (RRG).
- 2. 8 focus groups were held across England and Wales
- 3. Key focus group themes shaped the questions we asked in semistructured interviews with 55 people
- 4. Analysis of interview data shaped our questionnaire. 288 people responded.



Key findings



Disability, background & career aspirations

- For those disabled in childhood, parenting and schooling were significant
- Research identified a sub-group: 'childhood litigants', who had contact with the legal profession through personal injury or medical negligence (appeared to cut across socio-economic background)
- Largely positive experience in terms of accessibility and adjustments at University

Securing training and employment **CAERDY**

CARDIFF

PRIEYSGOL

- 66% of barristers and 59% of solicitors/paralegals surveyed were disabled when they started training.
- Those **identifying** as disabled **at the point of application** reported being most disadvantaged when applying for training or employment.
- Only 9.7% of disabled solicitors/ paralegals reported a positive and supportive response when using legal recruitment agencies.
- Fewer than 7% found it easy to find out about the accessibility of a prospective employer.
- 60% were concerned that inaccessible working environments limited their opportunities. The comparable figure for barristers is 50%.



Our data found

- Over 90% of survey respondents had a **non-visible impairment**.
- Only 50 60% disclosed their non-visible impairment when applying for training/ jobs / tenancy. The majority that could not conceal a visible impairment still concealed a non-visible impairment.
- Many disabled people do not realise their full potential because of fears of requesting adjustments or receiving partial adjustments.
- In anonymous equality monitoring surveys, only 60% of solicitors / paralegals declare they are disabled and the figure is 55% for barristers.



Career paths and progression

Career paths in the legal profession are more precarious and unpredictable for disabled people because of barriers such as:

- Accessibility and location of premises
- Rigid working practices that failed to facilitate reasonable adjustments
- Health-related (sometimes unnecessary) career interruptions
- Expectations of physical networking
- Unnecessary 'essential criteria'
- 'Misplaced paternalism'



Career progression and advancement

- 56% of solicitors/ paralegals surveyed and 71% of barristers believed they did not have the same opportunities for career progression as their non-disabled colleagues.
- "When you try and meet the criteria there's no obvious path to get you there... And I think one would have to pursue a completely different and unusual route to promotion to partnership..."
- "There's no role model that I can see for somebody who's been promoted to partner in my position, you know, somebody who isn't able to travel."



Disability and working practices

- Disabled people reported they required more tailored, flexible, remote working and imaginative job design.
- 85% of disabled solicitors and paralegals surveyed reported pain and fatigue.
- Disabled people frequently reported experiencing an organisational reluctance to adapt, reform, listen, or address exclusionary practices
- Under-utilisation of Access to Work
- Billable hours place many disabled people at a substantial disadvantage.



 60% of solicitors and paralegals had experienced ill-treatment in the workplace and of these 80% believed it was related to disability.

• 45% of barristers surveyed reported having experienced ill-treatment and 71% of these believed this was related to disability.



Ill-treatment, bullying & discrimination

Common experiences:

- Ridiculing or demeaning language (40% solicitors / paralegals; 60% barristers)
- Exclusion or victimisation (47% solicitors / paralegals)
- Over 53% of solicitors/ paralegals and 35% of barristers classified their experiences as discrimination
- Over 80% of all groups surveyed reported experiencing 'poor attitudes/ lack of understanding towards an impairment or health condition'.



"Nothing about us without us"

- The individualisation of workplace adjustments can 'privatise' disability
- Shared collective experiences, practical knowledge, belongingness are advantages of DPOs
- Need for the profession to integrate DPOs into all diversity decision-making



"Visibility of other disabled people in the working environment"

"Presence of diversity networks" (more than half of those surveyed did not have access to a disability network)

"Sharing experiences of disability and work in the legal profession"

"Access to mentors and participating in a reverse mentoring scheme"





Please access and share the full report and executive summary, which can be found at:

http://legallydisabled.com/research-reports/



Co-production

Jane Burton, Chair of the Lawyers with Disabilities Division